arXiv:math/9803147v1 [math.QA] 30 Mar 1998

Tensor Operators for $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$

N. Aizawa

Department of Applied Mathematics,
Osaka Women's University, Sakai, Osaka 590-0035, JAPAN

Abstract

Tensor operators for the Jordanian quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ are considered. Some explicit examples of them, which are obtained in the boson or fermion realization, are given and their properties are studied. It is also shown that the Wigner-Eckart's theorem can be extended to $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$.

1 Introduction

Recent studies on quantum matrices in two dimensions show that the Lie group $GL(2)$ admits two kinds of quantum deformation [1, 2, 3]. One of them is denoted by $GL_{p,q}(2)$ and has been studied extensively since the beginning of quantum group theory. The other is denoted by $GL_{g,h}(2)$, it is sometimes called the Jordanian quantum group. The $SL_h(2)$ is the special case of $GL_{g,h}(2)$ obtained by setting $g = h$ and the quantum determinant unity. The dual of $SL_h(2)$ is a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of $sl(2)$ [4] and it is called the Jordanian quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$. The explicit form of universal R-matrix for $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ is known [5, 6]. It is also known that the $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ can be obtained from the Drinfeld-Jimbo's $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(2))$ by a contraction [7]. The Hopf algebra dual to the $GL_{g,h}(2)$ was found very recently [8].

The representation theory of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ seems to have attracted some interest, since it has been revealed that the representation theories of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ and $sl(2)$ have some similarities. The finite dimensional irreducible representations (irreps) was first considered in [9], then a simple way to construct irreps with a nonlinear relation between the generators of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ and $sl(2)$ was proposed [10]. They show that the finite dimensional irreps of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ can be classified in the same way as those of $sl(2)$ (see also [11]). The infinite dimensional representations are considered in [12] with boson realizations. The first attempt to decompose a tensor product of two finite dimensional irreps was made in [13], then the problem was completely solved in [14, 15]. This gives the second similarity between the representation theories of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ and $sl(2)$, that is, the decomposition rule is exactly the same as $sl(2)$. Furthermore a explicit formula for $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients (CGC) is given in [14].

The nonlinear relation introduced in [10] gives an interesting observation for the coproduct of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$. We can regard $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ as the angular momentum algebra with a nonstandard coupling rule. This might suggest that $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ has lots of application to various fields in physics.

In this paper, we further develop the representation theory of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$, especially tensor operators will be studied. We review the known results on the representation of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ in the next two sections, in order to fix our notations and to list formulae used in the subsequent sections. Tensor operators for $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ are introduced in §4 according to [16]. Some explicit examples of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ tensor operators are given and their properties are considered. In §5, we consider a extension of the Wigner-Eckart's theorem to $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$.

2 $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ and Its Representations

The Jordanian quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ is an associative algebra with unity and generated by X, Y and H subjected to the relations [4]

$$\begin{aligned} &[X,\ Y] = H, \qquad [H,\ X] = 2\frac{\sinh hX}{h}, \\ &[H,\ Y] = -Y(\cosh hX) - (\cosh hX)Y, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where h is the deformation parameter. The coproduct Δ, the counit ϵ and the antipode S are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X, \\
&\Delta(Y) = Y \otimes e^{hX} + e^{-hX} \otimes Y, \qquad (2.2)\\
&\Delta(H) = H \otimes e^{hX} + e^{-hX} \otimes H, \\
&\epsilon(X) = \epsilon(Y) = \epsilon(H) = 0, \qquad (2.3)\\
&S(X) = -X, \quad S(Y) = -e^{hX} Y e^{-hX}, \quad S(H) = -e^{hX} H e^{-hX}, \qquad (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ is a Hopf algebra. The Casimir element belonging to the center of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ is [5]

$$C = \frac{1}{2h}\{Y(\sinh hX) + (\sinh hX)Y\} + \frac{1}{4}H^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\sinh hX)^2. \qquad (2.5)$$

The Jordanian quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ is a non-standard deformation of the universal enveloping algebra of $sl(2)$, since all expressions given in (2.1) -(2.5) reduce to the corresponding ones for $sl(2)$ in the limit of $h \to 0$.

Note that we can eliminate the deformation parameter h from all expressions by making the replacement $hX \to X$ and $h^{-1}Y \to Y$. Thus $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}_{h=1}(sl(2))$. We however remain the parameter h throughout this paper in order to consider the limit of $h \to 0$.

The finite dimensional irreps of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ can be obtained by using the nonlinear relation between generators of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ and $sl(2)$ [10]. With the definition

$$Z_+ = \frac{2}{h}\tanh\frac{hX}{2}, \qquad Z_- = (\cosh\frac{hX}{2})Y(\cosh\frac{hX}{2}), \qquad (2.6)$$

it follows that $Z_\pm$ and H satisfy the $sl(2)$ commutation relations

$$[H,\ Z_\pm] = \pm 2Z_\pm, \qquad [Z_+,\ Z_-] = H, \qquad (2.7)$$

and the Casimir element (2.5) is rewritten as

$$C = Z_+Z_- + \frac{H}{2}\left(\frac{H}{2} - 1\right). \qquad (2.8)$$

We can take undeformed representation bases for $Z_\pm$ and H

$$\begin{aligned}
&Z_\pm \left|jm\right\rangle = \sqrt{(j \mp m)(j \pm m + 1)}\left|jm \pm 1\right\rangle, \\
&H\left|jm\right\rangle = 2m\left|jm\right\rangle, \qquad (2.9)\\
&C\left|jm\right\rangle = j(j+1)\left|jm\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where $j = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, \cdots$ and $m = -j, -j+1, \cdots, j$. The vectors $\{\left|jm\right\rangle\}$ are nothing but the representation bases for $sl(2)$, their complete orthonormality and the representation matrices for bra vectors follow immediately. The representation matrices for X and Y can be obtained by solving (2.9) with respect to $Z_\pm$. The closed form of their expressions is given in [14] and this shows that finite dimensional highest weight irreps for $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ are classified in the same way as $sl(2)$.

3 Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients for $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$

In this section, we review some known results on the tensor products of two irreps given in the previous section. Although $Z_\pm$ and H are the elements of $sl(2)$, their coproducts are given in terms of $\Delta(X), \Delta(Y)$ and $\Delta(H)$ (see [13, 14] for explicit formulae of $\Delta(Z_\pm$)) so that the irreducible decomposition of tensor product representations is a nontrivial problem. This problem is solved in [13, 14] and [15].

Theorem 1 *The tensor product of two irreps of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ with highest weight j_1 and j_2 is completely reducible and the decomposition into irreps is given by*

$$j_1 \otimes j_2 = j_1 + j_2 \oplus j_1 + j_2 - 1 \oplus \cdots \oplus |j_1 - j_2|, \tag{3.1}$$

where each irrep is multiplicity free. Namely, the decomposition rules for $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ and $sl(2)$ are same.

The CGC for $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ can be obtained by introducing new vectors defined by

$$|(j_1 m_1)(j_2 m_2)\rangle = \sum_{k_i = m_i}^{j_i} \alpha_{k_1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2} \, |j_1 k_1\rangle \otimes |j_2 k_2\rangle \,, \tag{3.2}$$

where the coefficients $\alpha_{k_1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2}$ are given by

$$\alpha_{k_1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2} = (-1)^{k_2 - m_2} \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{k_1 + k_2 - m_1 - m_2} D_{k_1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2} (b_{k_1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2} - b_{k_1-1,k_2-1}^{m_1,m_2}), \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$D_{k1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2} = \left\{ \frac{(j_1 - m_1)!(j_1 + k_1)!(j_2 - m_2)!(j_2 + k_2)!}{(j_1 + m_1)!(j_1 - k_1)!(j_2 + m_2)!(j_2 - k_2)!} \right\}^{1/2},$$

$$b_{k1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2} = \left(\begin{array}{c} m_1 + k_1 \\ k_2 - m_2 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} m_2 + k_2 \\ k_1 - m_1 \end{array} \right).$$

We use the following definition of the binomial coefficients, since the suffices m_i in $b_{k1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2}$ takes negative values

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ m \end{array} \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \dfrac{n(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-m+1)}{m!} & \text{for} \quad m \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad m < 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Note that the coefficients $\alpha_{k_1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2}$ depend on j_1 and j_2, although the dependence is not shown explicitly. Note also that, in the limit of $h \to 0$, all coefficients $\alpha_{k_1,k_2}^{m_1,m_2}$ vanish except for $\alpha_{m_1,m_2}^{m_1,m_2} = 1$ so that $|(j_1 m_1)(j_2 m_2)\rangle \to |j_1 m_1\rangle \otimes |j_2 m_2\rangle$. We refer to the vectors (3.2) as "intermediate vectors " in this paper, since they appear the intermediate step to the CGC.

The important property of the intermediate vectors, which plays a crucial role in the following discussion, is the action of $\Delta(Z_\pm)$ and $\Delta(H)$ on the intermediate vectors given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(H)\,|(j_1m_1)(j_2m_2)\rangle &= 2(m_1+m_2)\,|(j_1m_1)(j_2m_2)\rangle\,,\\
\Delta(Z_\pm)\,|(j_1m_1)(j_2m_2)\rangle &= \sqrt{(j_1\mp m_1)(j_1\pm m_1+1)}\,|(j_1\;m_1\pm 1)(j_2m_2)\rangle\,,\\
&+\sqrt{(j_1\mp m_2)(j_2\pm m_2+1)}\,|(j_1m_1)(j_2\;m_2\pm 1)\rangle\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

This tells us that the action of $\Delta(Z_\pm)$ and $\Delta(H)$ on a intermediate vector is the same as the action of the undeformed coproducts of $sl(2)$ elements on a vector $|j_1m_1\rangle\otimes|j_2m_2\rangle$. Therefore, the bases of irreps for $\Delta(Z_\pm)$ and $\Delta(H)$ are obtained by linear combinations of the intermediate vectors with the CGC for $sl(2)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|jm\rangle &= \sum_{m_1+m_2=m} C^{j_1,j_2,j}_{m_1,m_2,m}\,|(j_1m_1)(j_2m_2)\rangle\,,\\
&= \sum_{k_i=m_i}^{j_i}\;\sum_{m_1+m_2=m} C^{j_1,j_2,j}_{m_1,m_2,m}\;\alpha^{m_1,m_2}_{k_1,k_2}\,|j_1k_1\rangle\otimes|j_2k_2\rangle\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $C^{j_1,j_2,j}_{m_1,m_2,m}$ is a $sl(2)$ CGC.

The orthogonality of the coefficients $\alpha^{m_1,m_2}_{k_1,k_2}$ is obtained in [15]

$$\sum_{k_1,k_2}\alpha^{m_1,m_2}_{k_1,k_2}\alpha^{-n_1,-n_2}_{-k_1,-k_2}=\delta_{m_1,n_1}\delta_{m_2,n_2}. \tag{3.6}$$

Before closing this section, we add a new result. The intermediate vectors for the dual space (space spanned by bra vectors) are given by

$$\langle(j_1m_1)(j_2m_2)| = \sum_{k_i=-j_i}^{m_i}\alpha^{-m_1,-m_2}_{-k_1,-k_2}\;\langle j_1k_1|\otimes\langle j_2k_2|\,. \tag{3.7}$$

The action of $\Delta(Z_\pm)$ and $\Delta(H)$ on (3.7) is the same as the action of the undeformed coproducts of $sl(2)$ elements on a vector $\langle j_1m_1|\otimes\langle j_2m_2|$. This can be proved by the same way as in [14]. The orthogonality of the coefficients $\alpha^{m_1,m_2}_{k_1,k_2}$ results the orthonormality of the intermediate vectors

$$\langle(j_1n_1)(j_2n_2)|(j_1m_1)(j_2m_2)\rangle=\delta_{n_1,m_1}\delta_{n_2,m_2}. \tag{3.8}$$

Note that the representations of $\Delta(H)$ and $\Delta(Z_\pm)$ on the intermediate vectors (for both bra and ket vectors) are unitary. Therefore Eq. (3.8) is nothing but the well-known fact that the eigenvectors of a hermitian operator with different eigenvalues are orthogonal each other.

4 Tensor Operators for $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$

4.1 Definition of Tensor Operators

Rittenberg and Scheunert gave a general definition of tensor operators for a Hopf algebra [16]. To define tensor operators, we first define the adjoint action of a Hopf algebra.

Definition 1 *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hopf algebra, let W, W' be its representation space, and let t be a operator which carries W into W'. Then the adjoint action of $c \in \mathcal{H}$ on t is defined by*

$$ad\ c(t) = \sum_i c_i\, t\, S(c'_i), \tag{4.1}$$

where the coproduct for c is written as $\Delta(c) = \sum_i c_i \otimes c'_i$.

The adjoint action has two important properties

$$\begin{aligned} &ad\ cc'(t) = ad\ c \circ ad\ c'(t), \\ &ad\ c(t \otimes s) = \sum_i (ad\ c_i(t)) \otimes (ad\ c'_i(s)). \end{aligned}$$

From these properties, we can show that the adjoint action gives a representation of $\mathcal{H}$

$$ad\ [c,\ c'](t) = [ad\ c,\ ad\ c'](t). \tag{4.2}$$

Tensor operators are defined as operators which form representation bases of a Hopf algebra under the adjoint action.

Definition 2 *Let T be a set of operators, and $D(c)^{(j)}$ be a representation matrix of $c \in \mathcal{H}$ with the highest weight j. The operators $t_{jm} \in T$ are called rank j tensor operators, if they satisfy the relations*

$$ad\ c(t_{jm}) = \sum_k D(c)^{(j)}_{km} t_{jk}. \tag{4.3}$$

The adjoint action of X, Y and H is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &ad\ X(t_{jm}) = [X,\ t_{jm}], \\ &ad\ Y(t_{jm}) = e^{-hX}[e^{hX}Y,\ t_{jm}]e^{-hX}, \\ &ad\ H(t_{jm}) = e^{-hX}[e^{hX}H,\ t_{jm}]e^{-hX}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

4.2 Some Examples of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ Tensor Operators

In this section, we shall give explicit expressions of three kinds of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ tensor operators. To show the existence of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ tensor operators, it is enough to construct rank 1/2 tensor operators, since higher rank tensor operators can be obtained by decomposing a tensor product of some rank 1/2 tensor operators. This is due to the fact that tensor operators are representation bases of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ and we have a explicit formula for the $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ CGC.

The tensor operators given here are (1) rank 1/2 tensor operators in fermion realization of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$, (2) rank 1/2 tensor operators in boson realization of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$, (3) rank 1 tensor operators constructed by the generators of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ themselves. The basic idea for (1) and (2) is quite simple. We realize $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ with the generators of $sl(2)$

$$H = J_0, \qquad X = \frac{2}{h}\text{arctanh}\left(\frac{h}{2}J_+\right), \qquad Y = \sqrt{1-\left(\frac{h}{2}J_+\right)^2}\,J_-\sqrt{1-\left(\frac{h}{2}J_+\right)^2}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $J_\pm$ and J_0 are generators of $sl(2)$. This is obtained by solving (2.6) with respect to X and Y and regarding $\{Z_\pm, H\}$ as the generators of $sl(2)$. Then we realize $sl(2)$ in terms of fermions or bosons. We need representation matrices of X, Y and H for $j = 1/2$ and 1 to find the rank 1/2 or 1 tensor operators. The representation matrices for $j = 1/2$ read

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and for $j = 1$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -h^2/2\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & -h^2/2\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$H = diag(2, 0, -2).$$

Note that the representation matrices for $j = 1/2$ are same as the ones for $h \to 1$, however rank 1/2 tensor operators are nontrivial since the adjoint action has different form (see (4.4)).

Let us first consider the fermion realization. We introduce two kinds of mutually anticommuting fermions

$$\{a_i,\ a_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}, \qquad \{a_i,\ a_j\} = \{a_i^\dagger,\ a_j^\dagger\} = 0, \qquad i, j = 1, 2.$$

These fermions realize $sl(2)$ (the so-called fermion quasi spin formalism),

$$J_+ = a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger, \qquad J_- = a_2 a_1, \qquad J_0 = N_1 + N_2 - 1, \tag{4.6}$$

where $N_i \equiv a_i^\dagger a_i$ is the number operator for ith fermion. This realization gives two representations of $sl(2)$ and $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$. One of them is the two dimensional irrep whose representation space $W^{(1/2)}$ has bases $\left|\frac{1}{2}\ \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger \left|0\right\rangle$ and $\left|\frac{1}{2}\ -\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle = \left|0\right\rangle$, where $\left|0\right\rangle$ denotes the fermion vacuum. The other is the trivial representation whose representation space $W^{(0)}$ is spanned by $a_1^\dagger \left|0\right\rangle$ or $a_2^\dagger \left|0\right\rangle$. The advantage of the fermions is that the adjoint action has a simpler form, since the nilpotency of fermions results $X^2 = 0$. We find two kinds of rank 1/2 tensor operators in this realization

$$t_{1/2\,1/2} = -a_1^\dagger, \qquad t_{1/2\,-1/2} = -a_2 + h(N_2 - 1)a_1^\dagger, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$t_{1/2\,1/2} = a_2^\dagger, \qquad t_{1/2\,-1/2} = -a_1 - h(N_1-1)a_2^\dagger. \tag{4.8}$$

The straightforward computation shows that these satisfy the definition of rank 1/2 tensor operators. It is also easy to see that the action of both (4.7) and (4.8) on $W^{(1/2)}$ results $W^{(0)}$ and vice versa.

Next we consider the boson realization. With two kinds of mutually commuting bosons

$$[b_i,\ b_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}, \qquad [b_i,\ b_j] = [b_i^\dagger,\ b_j^\dagger] = 0, \qquad i,j = 1,2,$$

the Lie algebra $sl(2)$ is realized as (the Jordan-Schwinger realization)

$$J_+ = b_1^\dagger b_2, \qquad J_- = b_2^\dagger b_1, \qquad J_0 = N_1 - N_2, \tag{4.9}$$

where $N_i = b_i^\dagger b_i$ is the number operator for ith boson. We obtain any irrep of $sl(2)$ and $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ in this realization. Let us denote the representation space for highest weight j by $W^{(j)}$, then the bases of $W^{(j)}$ are given by

$$|jm\rangle = \frac{(b_1^\dagger)^{j+m}(b_2^\dagger)^{j-m}}{\sqrt{(j+m)!(j-m)!}}\,|0\rangle\,, \qquad m = -j, -j+1, \cdots, j, \tag{4.10}$$

where $|0\rangle$ denotes the boson vacuum. It is shown, by straightforward computation, that there exist two kinds of rank 1/2 tensor operators in this realization

$$t_{1/2\,1/2} = \left(1 - \frac{h}{2}J_+\right)^{-1} b_1^\dagger, \qquad t_{1/2\,-1/2} = \left(1 - \frac{h}{2}J_+\right) b_2^\dagger + \frac{h}{2}(t_{1/2\,1/2} - b_1^\dagger J_0), \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$t_{1/2\,1/2} = -\left(1 - \frac{h}{2}J_+\right)^{-1} b_2, \qquad t_{1/2\,-1/2} = \left(1 - \frac{h}{2}J_+\right) b_1 + \frac{h}{2}(t_{1/2\,1/2} + b_2 J_0). \tag{4.12}$$

The action of (4.11) on $W^{(j)}$ reads

$$t_{1/2\,1/2}\,|jm\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{j-m} \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^n \Gamma_n^{jm} \left| j+\frac{1}{2}\ \ m+\frac{1}{2}+n \right\rangle, \tag{4.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &t_{1/2\,-1/2}\,|jm\rangle \\ &= \sqrt{j-m+1}\left| j+\frac{1}{2}\ \ m-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle - \frac{h}{2}(j+m)\sqrt{j+m+1}\left| j+\frac{1}{2}\ \ m+\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle \\ &+\frac{h}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{j-m} \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^n \Gamma_n^{jm} \left| j+\frac{1}{2}\ \ m+\frac{1}{2}+n \right\rangle,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where

$$\Gamma_n^{jm} = \left\{ \frac{(j-m)!(j+m+n+1)!}{(j+m)!(j-m-n)!} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, the action of (4.12) on $W^{(j)}$ reads

$$t_{1/2\ 1/2}\,|jm\rangle = -\sum_{n=0}^{j-m-1} \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^n \Lambda_n^{jm} \left|j-\frac{1}{2}\ \ m+\frac{1}{2}+n\right\rangle, \tag{4.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & t_{1/2\ -1/2}\,|jm\rangle \\ & = \left|j-\frac{1}{2}\ \ m-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle - \frac{h}{2}\sqrt{j-m}(j-m-1)\left|j-\frac{1}{2}\ \ m+\frac{1}{2}+n\right\rangle \\ & -\frac{h}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{j-m-1} \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^n \Lambda_n^{jm} \left|j-\frac{1}{2}\ \ m+\frac{1}{2}+n\right\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$\Lambda_n^{jm} = \left\{ \frac{(j-m)!(j+m+n)!}{(j+m)!(j-m-n-1)!} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, we see that the action of tensor operators (4.11) gives rise to a mapping $W^{(j)} \rightarrow W^{(j+1/2)}$, while the tensor operators (4.12) $W^{(j)} \rightarrow W^{(j-1/2)}$.

The third example of tensor operators is constructed with the generators of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ themselves. It is also straightforward to verify that the rank 1 tensor operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} t_{1\,1} &= -e^{hX}\frac{\sinh hX}{h}, \\ t_{1\,0} &= \frac{e^{hX}H}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ t_{1\,-1} &= e^{-hX/2}Ye^{-hX/2} + \frac{h}{2}e^{hX/2}He^{hX/2} - \frac{h}{2}H^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

These are combination of the $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ generators so that they can act on any irrep space and do not change the value of highest weight : $t_{1\,m} : W^{(j)} \rightarrow W^{(j)}$.

All the result given here is a natural analogue of $sl(2)$, since they have the counterparts, which are well-known properties of $sl(2)$ tensor operators, in the limit of $h \rightarrow 0$. Therefore we have seen new similarities between the representation theories of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ and $sl(2)$.

5 Wigner-Eckart's Theorem

The results in the previous section enable us to consider an extension of the Wigner-Eckart's theorem to the Jordanian quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$. The purpose of this section is to show that the Wigner-Eckart's theorem can be extended to $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$.

Theorem 2 *Let $T^{(j_1)}$ be a set of rank j_1 tensor operators, let $W^{(j)}$ be irrep space of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ with highest weight j and suppose that $t_{j_1m_1} \in T^{(j_1)} : W^{(j_2)} \rightarrow W^{(j)}$. Then*

$$\langle jm|\, t_{j_1m_1}\, |j_2m_2\rangle = I(j_1j_2j) \sum_{n_i=-j_i}^{j_i} \alpha_{-m1,-m_2}^{-n_1,-n_2} C_{n_1,\,n_2,\,m}^{j_1,\,j_2,\,j}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $I(j_1j_2j)$ is a constant independent of m_1, m_2 and m.

Proof : According to [17], we consider an element $t_{j_1m_1} \otimes |j_2m_2\rangle$ of $T^{(j_1)} \otimes W^{(j_2)}$. Both $T^{(j_1)}$ and $W^{(j_2)}$ are representation space of $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ so that $\Delta(c),\ c \in \mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ acts on $T^{(j_1)} \otimes W^{(j_2)}$. For example,

$$\Delta(H)t_{j_1m_1} \otimes |j_2m_2\rangle = ad\ H(t_{j_1m_1}) \otimes e^{hX}\,|j_2m_2\rangle + ad\ e^{-hX}(t_{j_1m_1}) \otimes H\,|j_2m_2\rangle\,. \tag{5.2}$$

The LHS of $\otimes$ is a tensor operator, since the adjoint action is a linear transformation on $T^{(j_1)}$. Thus we can consider an action of the LHS of $\otimes$ on the RHS : $t \otimes |jm\rangle \;\to\; t\,|jm\rangle$. This operation is called a "contraction" in [17]. Noting that $ad\ e^{-hX}(t_{j_1m_1}) = e^{-hX}t_{j_1m_1}e^{hX}$ and contractiong (5.2), we obtain $Ht_{j_1m_1}\,|j_2m_2\rangle$. Similar calculation shows that

$$\Delta(c)t_{j_1m_1} \otimes |j_2m_2\rangle \;\to\; c\ t_{j_1m_1}\,|j_2m_2\rangle\,, \tag{5.3}$$

where the arrow means that the RHS is a result of the contraction.

An intermediate vector on $T^{(j_1)} \otimes W^{(j_2)}$ is given by

$$|(j_1m_1)(j_2m_2)\rangle = \sum_{k_i=-j_i}^{j_i} \alpha^{m_1,m_2}_{k_1,k_2}\ t_{j_1k_1} \otimes |j_2k_2\rangle\,. \tag{5.4}$$

Because of (3.4), the action of $\Delta(Z_\pm)$ on the vector yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(Z_\pm)\,|(j_1m_1)(j_2m_2)\rangle &= \sqrt{(j_1 \mp m_1)(j_1 \pm m_1 + 1)}\,|(j_1\ m_1 \pm 1)(j_2m_2)\rangle \\ &+ \sqrt{(j_2 \mp m_2)(j_2 \pm m_2 + 1)}\,|(j_1m_1)(j_2\ m_2 \pm 1)\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Using (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\pm\,|\varphi; m_1m_2\rangle &= \sqrt{(j_1 \mp m_1)(j_1 \pm m_1 + 1)}\,|\varphi; m_1 \pm 1m_2\rangle \\ &+ \sqrt{(j_2 \mp m_2)(j_2 \pm m_2 + 1)}\,|\varphi; m_1m_2 \pm 1\rangle\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where $|\varphi; m_1m_2\rangle$ is the vector obtained from (5.4) by a contraction.

$$|\varphi; m_1m_2\rangle = \sum_{k_i=-j_i}^{j_i} \alpha^{m_1,m_2}_{k_1,k_2}\ t_{j_1k_1}\,|j_2k_2\rangle\,.$$

The inner product of $|j\ m \pm 1\rangle$ and (5.6) gives the recurrence relations for $\langle jm|\varphi; m_1m_2\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{(j \mp m)(j \pm m + 1)}\,\langle jm|\varphi; m_1m_2\rangle \\ &\quad = \sqrt{(j_1 \mp m_1)(j_1 \pm m_1 + 1)}\,\langle j\ m \pm 1|\varphi; m_1 \pm 1\ m_2\rangle \\ &\quad + \sqrt{(j_2 \mp m_2)(j_2 \pm m_2 + 1)}\,\langle j\ m \pm 1|\varphi; m_1\ m_2 \pm 1\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

The recurrence relations (5.7) are the same as the ones for $sl(2)$ CGC, therefore the quantity $\langle jm|\varphi; m_1m_2\rangle$ must be proportional to $sl(2)$ CGC. Denoting the proportional coefficient by $I(j_1j_2j)$

$$\langle jm|\varphi; m_1m_2\rangle = \sum_{k_i=-j_i}^{j_i} \alpha^{m_1,m_2}_{k_1,k_2}\ \langle jm|\,t_{j_1k_1}\,|j_2k_2\rangle = C^{j_1,\,j_2,\,j}_{m_1,\,m_2,\,m} I(j_1j_2j). \tag{5.8}$$

This relation can be solved with respect to $\langle jm|\, t_{j_1 k_1}\, |j_2 k_2\rangle$, and the Wigner-Eckart's theorem (5.1) has been proved.
Remark : From (3.7) and the fact that the $sl(2)$ CGC for bra and ket vectors are equal, we see that the quantity appearing in the right hand side of (5.1) is the $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$ CGC for bra vectors. This is a general property of the Wigner-Eckart's theorem [18].

References

[1] Demidov E E *et al.* 1990 Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **102** 203

[2] Ewen H, Ogievetsky O and Wess J 1991 Lett. Math. Phys. **22** 297

[3] Zakrzewski S 1991 Lett. Math. Phys. **22** 287

[4] Ohn Ch 1992 Lett. Math. Phys. **25** 85

[5] Ballesteros A and Herranz F J 1996 J. Phys. A:Math. Gen. **29** L311

[6] Shariati A, Aghamohammadi A and Khorrami M 1996 Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11** 187

[7] Aghamohammadi A, Khorrami M and Shariati A 1995 J. Phys. A:Math. Gen. **28** L225

[8] Aneva B L, Dobrev V K and Mihov S G 1997 Duality for the Jordanian matrix quantum group $GL_{g,h}(2)$, preprint INRNE-TH/3/97 q-alg/9705028.

[9] Dobrev V K 1996 Representations of the Jordanian quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$, Proceedings of the 10th International Conference 'Problems of Quantum Field Theory', eds. Shirkov D, Kazakov D and Vladimirov A, 104

[10] Abdesselam B, Chakrabarti A and Chakrabarti R 1996 Mod. Phys. Lett. **A11** 2883

[11] Abdesselam B, Chakrabarti A and Chakrabarti R 1997 Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** 2301

[12] Ballesteros A, Herranz F J and Negro J Boson representations, non-standard quantum algebras and contractions, preprint q-alg/9611031

[13] Aizawa N 1997 J. Phys. A:Math. Gen. **30** 5981

[14] Van der Jeugt J, Representations and Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the Jordanian quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}_h(sl(2))$, preprint q-alg/9703011

[15] Van der Jeugt J The Jordanian deformation of $su(2)$ and Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, preprint q-alg/9709005

[16] Rittenberg V and Scheunert M 1992 J. Math. Phys. **33** 436

[17] Biedenharn L C and Tarlini M 1990 Lett. Math. Phys. **20** 271

[18] Aizawa N 1993 J. Math. Phys. **34** 1937